

- (1) "Exceptionally Well Qualified" -- Possessing qualities and attributes considered to be of remarkable or extraordinary superiority so that, without genuine doubt, such person is deemed to be fit to perform the judicial function with distinction.
- (2) "Well Qualified" -- Possessing qualities and attributes considered to be worthy of special note as indicative of a superior fitness to perform the judicial function with a high degree of skill and effectiveness.
- (3) "Qualified" -- Possessing qualities and attributes considered to equip a person to perform the judicial function adequately and satisfactorily.
- (4) "Not Qualified" -- Possessing less than the minimum qualities and attributes considered necessary to perform the judicial function adequately and satisfactorily. If a Candidate is voted Not Qualified due to lack of sufficient experience, then the Committee may indicate this fact in its report to the appointing or approving authority.